Occupational Exposure to Crystalline Silica and Autoimmune Disease
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Occupational exposure to silica dust has been examined as a possible risk factor with respect to several systemic autoimmune diseases, including scleroderma, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and some of the small vessel vasculitides with renal involvement (e.g., Wegener granulomatosis). Crystalline silica or quartz, is an abundant mineral found in sand, rock, and soil. High-level exposure to respirable silica dust can cause chronic inflammation and fibrosis in the lung and other organs. Studies of specific occupational groups with high-level silica exposure (e.g., miners) have shown increased rates of autoimmune diseases compared to the expected rates in the general population. However, some clinic- and population-based studies have not demonstrated an association between silica exposure and risk of autoimmune diseases. This lack of effect may be due to the limited statistical power of these studies to examine this association or because the lower- or moderate-level exposures that may be more common in the general population were not considered. Experimental studies demonstrate that silica can act as an adjuvant to nonspecifically enhance the immune response. This is one mechanism by which silica might be involved in the development of autoimmune diseases. Given that different autoimmune diseases may be associated with silica dust exposure, silica dust may act to promote or accelerate disease development, requiring some other factor to break immune tolerance or initiate autoimmunity. The specific manifestation of this effect may depend on underlying differences in genetic susceptibility or other environmental exposures. Key words: antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, antinuclear antibodies, nephritis, rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, systemic lupus erythematosus, Wegener granulomatosis.


Little is known about the role of environmental agents in the development of autoimmune disease. Many studies illustrate the influence of a complex array of genetic factors on the development of autoimmune and specific autoimmune diseases (1–3). However, the onset of disease also appears to require the occurrence of chance events in the immune system or exposure to environmental agents that may trigger or accelerate the disease process. Occupational exposure to crystalline silica dust has been examined as a possible risk factor with respect to several systemic autoimmune diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), scleroderma (SSc), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and some of the small vessel vasculitides (SVV) with renal involvement (e.g., Wegener granulomatosis [WGI]). This article provides a brief overview of silica dust exposure, summarizes the evidence from human studies on the possible association between silica and autoimmune diseases, and describes the evidence related to mechanisms that may account for this association. Considerations for evaluating these data and designing future studies will be discussed.

Exposure to Silica Dust

Silica or silicon dioxide (SiO2) is an abundant mineral found in rock, sand, and soil. Silica primarily exists in its crystalline state as quartz, which is structurally and chemically different from amorphous silica (e.g., diatomaceous earth); silicates (e.g., talc or asbestos); and silicone (a polymer containing silicon ([SiO(CH3)2]n)). Ubiquitous in the environment, silica is part of the small particulate fraction of air pollution and may comprise a significant fraction of exposure to respirable dust levels in some geographic regions (4,5). In this review, the term silica dust exposure refers to occupational exposure to the respirable fraction of crystalline silica dust. We do not consider the literature pertaining to silicosis or speculate about the effects of other silicon-containing materials.

Crystalline silica can be harmful when inhaled as a dust in the respirable range (<5-μm particles). Silicosis, a form of pulmonary fibrosis, is a well-known occupational disease that results from acute or chronic high-level exposure to silica or silicac-containing dusts (6–8). Silicosis increases susceptibility to tuberculosis and other respiratory diseases (6), and silica has recently been categorized by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as a known human carcinogen (8).

Sources of Exposure

The sources of occupational exposure to silica dust are diverse and include many manufacturing and construction processes that use silica as either a tool or a raw material, as well as the mining and processing of silica-containing rock (9,10) (Table 1). Many of these industries are traditionally known as the dusty trades. Some jobs may involve regular contact with silica but are not commonly recognized as dusty trades (e.g., dental technician, chemist, or sculptor). Silica sand and gravel are used in road construction and concrete and in the manufacture of glass and ceramics, foundry castings, and abrasives such as sandpaper and sandblasting materials. Silica sand or flour is also used as a filler in detergents, paints, plastics, and cements; as a filtering agent for water, sewage, and food production; and as the primary component of some abrasive cleansers (e.g., scouring powder).

The permissible exposure limit (PEL) for respirable silica dust used by the Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) is approximately 0.1 mg/m³ (8-h time-weighted average for quartz) (11,12), which is twice the recommended exposure limit (REL): 0.05 mg/m³) suggested by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health to minimize risk of silicosis (13). Approximately 1–3 million people in the United States work in jobs with potential exposure to silica, and at least 10% of these workers may have dangerously high exposures (at least 2–10 times the REL) (14). The National Occupational Exposure Survey (1981–1983) estimated that women comprise approximately 20% of workers in the United States with potential silica exposure (15). This number may increase as more women move into occupations traditionally held by men. Recently, the burden of silica-related disease has shifted from industrialized to developing countries (16).
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The potential for silica dust exposure in agriculture was recently recognized by OSHA based on measurements in some food-processing operations (17). The levels of silica exposure in farming depend on the tasks and crops involved (18–22) and may also vary by soil characteristics and weather conditions (23). Exposure as high as 0.10–0.65 mg/m³ (1–6.5 times greater than PEL for silica exposure in other industries) have been reported in mechanized and manual harvesting of some crops (18,22). Approximately 10 million people in the United States work on a farm (18). The impact of silica dust exposure in agriculture could be substantial, but relatively few studies have characterized exposure levels and associated risks in this population.

Silica Dust and Autoimmune Disease

Initial hypotheses linking silica dust exposure (or silicosis) to autoimmune diseases were based on numerous case reports and small occupationally based case series (24–26). Larger clinic-based case–control, registry-linkage, and case–control studies have also been conducted, many of them published since 1995 (27–56). The disease that has been examined most often include SSC (24,25,27–43), RA (26,31–33, 35,37,44–46), SLE (29,33,35, 37,47–52), and some of the SVV with renal involvement (53–56). The population prevalence of these diseases differs by several orders of magnitude; RA is the most common (approximately 1 case per 100) (57,58), compared to SLE (approximately 5 cases per 10,000) (58,59) and to SSC and WG (fewer than 5 cases per 100,000) (58,60–62). High-level silica exposure has also been reported in cases of autoimmune hemolytic anemia, dermatomyositis, vasculitis, Sjögren syndrome, and Graves disease (37,63–66). A strong association between silica dust exposure and sarcoidosis was recently described (67). Sarcoidosis is an inflammatory condition of the lung that sometimes coexists with or resembles several of the autoimmune diseases. Studies that examine the occurrence of autoimmune disease in occupational cohorts exposed to silica dust are described in Table 2. Occupational groups studied included miners (25–28,30,32,34,35), stone workers and stonemasons (24,46), and workers in a scouring powder factory (29). These studies include clinical descriptions of silica-exposed cases, estimates of the incidence or prevalence in exposed workers, case–control comparisons within an occupational cohort, or comparisons with disease rates in the general population. Estimates and comparisons in these studies often require several assumptions and inferences about the number of workers exposed and at risk, and the study base may be difficult to define. Although many autoimmune diseases predominately affect women, the majority of workers studied thus far have been male, with the exception of the scouring powder factory workers in Spain (29).

Table 3 summarizes several registry-linkage studies of silica dust exposure or silicosis and autoimmune diseases (31–35,46). These studies are often population based and typically use mortality or hospital-based registries to identify occupational groups and patients with silicosis or autoimmune disease. The larger case–control studies that describe the prevalence of silica dust exposure among patients with autoimmune disease are presented in Table 4 (36–39). In these studies, the majority of cases are men with recognized silica dust exposure.

Several recent case–control studies have examined the association of silica dust exposure with SSC and some of the SVV with renal involvement (40–43,53–56) (Table 5). Because of the low prevalence of occupational silica dust exposure in the general population (especially in women), most of these studies lacked the statistical power to detect moderate or smaller effects. Despite these limitations, three small studies reported a strong and significant association of silica dust exposure with some of the SVV (34–36).

The following is a summary of the evidence pertaining to specific diseases.

Scleroderma

Bromwell first described the occurrence of SSC in a study of Scottish stonemasons (24). In the mid-1950s, Erasmus reported an elevated incidence of SSC in black South African gold miners (25) (Table 2). The coincidence of SSC with silicosis is sometimes referred to as Erasmus syndrome.

Two studies from the mid-1980s provide additional data on SSC in South African miners (27,28). In white gold miners, there was a significant difference in cumulative lifetime silica dust exposure at cases and in black miners, there was a significant difference in cumulative lifetime silica dust exposure at cases and controls (28). The subsequent study between silicosis and SSC in either of these studies. Increased occurrence of SSC was also reported in a recent study of scouring powder and instances of silicosis in Spain (29) and in approximately 250,000 highly exposed uranium miners in East Germany (30) (Table 2). In the uranium miners, the incidence of SSC was much higher in workers with silicosis compared to other workers with high-level exposure.

Five registry-linkage studies have examined the incidence or prevalence of SSC in silicosis patients (31–35) (Table 3). One of the larger studies reported a strong and statistically significant association between silicosis and SSC (risk ratio [RR] = 37.0; 95% confidence interval [CI], 11.9–86.3) (33). In contrast, no association between SSC and occupation was seen in a mortality study in the United States (34). The occupations data in this study were based on the occupation of the deceased at the time of death. This information is often not available because the relatively small risk for silicosis-related mortality observed in this
study (odds ratio [OR] = 2.1 in men) suggests potential misclassification of silica exposure, since the expected number of silicosis-deaths in the reference group should approach zero.

Three clinic-based studies of SSC have reported a high prevalence of silica exposure in male patients (36,38,39) but not in other populations or in women (37,39) (Table 4). The results of case-control studies on silica dust exposure and SSC are equivocal (36,40-43) (Table 5). A clinic-based study in Italy reported an elevated but nonsignificant OR for silica dust exposure in men (OR = 5.2; 95% CI, 0.5-74.1) but had extremely limited power, with only 5 cases and 10 controls (41). None of the 16 female cases in this study were exposed to silica dust, compared to 1 of the 32 female controls. A slightly elevated but nonsignificant association for work or around silica dust was reported in a large population-based study of female SSC patients in Michigan (42). This study was replicated in Ohio with negative findings for all silica-related occupations except for work in sculpting and pottery making (OR = 2.1; 95% CI, 1.1-4.1) (43).

**Table 2. Studies of autoimmune diseases in occupational cohorts with silica dust exposure.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (ref)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Major findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caplan, 1953 (27)</td>
<td>South Wales</td>
<td>Case-series (1950-1952) Coal miners (male)</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>51 cases described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,000 claims for pneumoconiosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ermans, 1957 (25)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Case-series (1954-1956)</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>17 cases described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40,613 gold miners (white, male)</td>
<td>Incidence = 0.04% (18 months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill et al., 1958 (44)</td>
<td>South Wales</td>
<td>Disease prevalence (years not specified) Gold miners (male) with pneumoconiosis, including 788 PMF patients. 20 PMF patients with rheumatoid lesions in the lung. Comparison: 60 age-matched PMF cases without rheumatoid lesions in the lung</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Rheumatoid lesions: 4 cases RA (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No rheumatoid lesions: 2 cases RA (3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sluis-Cremer et al., 1985 (27)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Case-control (1955-1964) Gold miners (white, male) 28 cases 78 age-matched controls Exposure: employee records and dust monitoring data by occupation Prevalence (1960-1989) Members of the Mines Benefit Society Comparison: railway, harbor workers</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>Difference in cumulative exposure* explained by differences in intensity** SSc and silicosis: OR = 1.2 (0.3-5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gold miners: 28 cases (7.7 per 100,000) Railway/harbor workers: 3 cases (0.33 per 100,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sluis-Cremer et al., 1986 (45)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Case-control (1967-1979) Gold miners (white, male) 157 cases (91 definite, 66 probable) 157 age-matched controls Exposure: employee records and dust monitoring data by occupation</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Definite RA and silicosis: OR = 3.8 (1.7-8.0)** Probable RA and silicosis: OR = 1.9 (0.8-4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No difference in cumulative exposure or intensity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowie, 1987 (49)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Incidence (1981-1986) Gold miners (male) 24,450 ages 33-57 (per year) Comparison: 488 age-matched miners Exposure: history by questionnaire</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>10 cases (8.2 per 100,000 PY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No difference in percent with silicosis, exposure years, or intensity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanchez-Roman et al., 1993 (29)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Prevalence (data not specified - 10 years) 300 scoring powder factory workers Sample: 56 volunteers (88% female)</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>SLE: 5 cases (1.67 per 100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SLE/SSc: 5 cases (1.67 per 100,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrad et al., 1995 (52)</td>
<td>Eastern Germany</td>
<td>Prevalence (1972-1994) 30,000 uranium miners (male) 30,000 heavily exposed miners Comparison: 1:100 discordant cases from general population (90% women) Comparison: 2: miners without SLE Exposure: case histories and occupational history reports; heavy exposure &gt; 20 mg/m³</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>Definite SLE: 28 cases (83 per 100,000) Probable SLE: 15 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arthritis*** and photosensitivity* less frequent in miners with SLE Antinuclear antibodies related to exposure levels and clinical features</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meinhorn et al., 1999 (30)</td>
<td>Eastern Germany</td>
<td>Incidence (1988-1995) Uranium miners (male) 243,000 highly exposed, including 12,600 cases silicosis; 50,000 low exposed Comparison: estimated population ratios of silicosis and SSc (0.2 cases per 100,000 PY)</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>Total high: 94 cases; RR = 7.8 (5.5-9.5) With silicosis: 60 cases; RR = 9.7 (7.5-12.5) Without silicosis: 34 cases; RR = 3.1 (2.2-4.3) Total low: 3 cases; RR = 1.2 (not significant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rheumatoid Arthritis**

Rheumatoid arthritis in the lung associated with silica dust exposure are commonly known as Caplan syndrome. In the 1950s, Caplan described unusual radiologic changes in the lungs of a group of Welsh coal miners diagnosed with pneumoconiosis (26) (Table 2). A subsequent epidemiologic study in this population reported that rheumatoid lesions in the lung were predictive of a diagnosis of RA in men with massive pulmonary fibrosis (44) (Table 2). In South African gold miners, RA was associated with silicosis (OR = 3.8; 95% CI,
Table 3. Registry-linkage studies of occupational silica dust exposure or silicosis and autoimmune diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s) et al.</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Methods*</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Major findings*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Klockars et al., 1987 (49)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Incidence (1949–1981) and prevalence (1981) 1,025 male granite workers hired (1940–1971) Registry of RA-specific disability pensions and medications Comparison: men in population Exposure: granite work ≥ 2 months</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Disability pensions: RR = 5.09 (3.31–7.79) Pensions: 10 cases (1.6 expected)* Medications: 13 cases (7.6 expected)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenman and Zhu, 1995 (37)</td>
<td>U.S., Michigan</td>
<td>Hospital discharge diagnoses (1990–1991) Patients diagnosed with pneumoconiosis: 160 silicosis (155 men, 5 women), 355 coal worker’s pneumoconiosis, 252 asbestosis, 12 unspecified dust, 51 unspecified Comparison: all other discharges</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>RA and silicosis (men): SIR = 2.2 (1.1–4.9) RA and silicosis (women): no cases RA and unspecified (men): SIR = 0.4 (2.3–3.0) SSc and pneumoconiosis (all): no cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenland and Brown, 1995 (32)</td>
<td>U.S., South Dakota</td>
<td>Mortality (1934–1990) 3,528 male gold miners Multiple-cause mortality listing Comparison: South Dakota population</td>
<td>ART</td>
<td>Arthritis (including RA): SMR = 2.2 (1.3–3.5) MSK (including SLE and SSC): SMR = 2.1 (1.0–3.9) Skin (including SLE and SSC): SMR = 2.4 (1.2–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al., 1997 (33)</td>
<td>Sweden, Denmark</td>
<td>Mortality (1963–1989, Sweden) 1,136 men with silicosis Comparison: entire population Hospital discharge diagnoses in Sweden (1965–1993) and Denmark (1977–1989) Discharge diagnosis of silicosis Comparison: all other discharge diagnoses</td>
<td>MSK</td>
<td>SMR = 5.9 (2.2–12.5), due to 8 cases of autoimmune disease (including 3 RA, 2 SLE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsh, 1997 (34)</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>Mortality (1985–1992) Death certificates from 25 states Death from: SSc or from silicosis Exposure: 37 occupational groups Comparison: other occupations</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>SSc (in men): OR = 1.0 (0.8–1.2) SSc (in women): OR = 0.8 (0.6–1.2) Silicosis (in men): OR = 2.1 (1.0–4.2) Silicosis (in women): OR = 8.7 (2.2–18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenman et al., 1999 (35)</td>
<td>U.S., Michigan</td>
<td>Disease prevalence (1985–1995) 463 silicosis cases from state registry system Medical records review and questionnaire Comparison: rates in the general population</td>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>RA: 24 cases (5.2%): OR = 2.7 (1.7–4.1) SSc: 1 case (0.2%): OR = 15.6 (0.2–87.0) SLE: 1 case (0.2%): OR = 11.4 (0.2–83.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ART, arthritis; MSK, musculoskeletal disease; OR, odds ratio; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RR, risk ratio; SIR, standardized incidence ratio; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SMR, standardized mortality ratio; SSc, scleroderma. *Includes description of study design and registries used (source of cases and/or comparison group). Includes percent, and OR, RR, SMR, and SIR with 95% confidence interval in parentheses when available. + p < 0.001.

1.7–8.4), and there was a significant increase in the intensity of silica exposure experienced by cases compared to controls (45) (Table 2).

Three registry-linkage studies reported significantly increased rates of RA in male silicosis patients (31,33,35), with estimated relative risks between 2.7 and 8.3 (Table 3). Because they selected on silicosis status or were of cross-sectional design, the studies of silicosis patients could not differentiate between two causal scenarios: a) either silica dust exposure (as evidenced by the presence of silicosis) increases the likelihood of developing RA, or b) RA results in increased progression and severity of silicosis. This issue was addressed in a longitudinal study of 1,026 granite workers in Finland (46). The study used population-based registries to identify a 5-fold higher incidence of new disability awards for RA compared to the rate in the general population. These workers were also more likely to be diagnosed with RA and be receiving medications for RA at the end of the study period. The majority (90%) of the 35 RA cases in this study had chest X rays that were free of indicators of silicosis at the time they were diagnosed with RA. None had evidence of Caplan syndrome, suggesting that these phenomena may be distinct, and that silicosis is not a necessary component of an association between RA and silica exposure.

Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

Until recently, the evidence relating to silica exposure and SLE was limited to case reports (37,47–51), and most described male SLE patients who were also diagnosed with silicosis and had a history of working in the dusty trades industries. Occupational silica exposure has been observed in few women with SLE (50), and one young woman was diagnosed with features of SLE and silicosis after years of recreational shoreline powder inhalation (51).

Two recent studies in occupational groups suggest that high-level silica exposure may be associated with SLE (29,52) (Table 2). This includes three cases of SLE and five SLE/SSc overlap cases in the study of 50 scoring powder factory workers (29) and 28 SLE cases identified in a study of 30,000 male uranium miners examined for silicosis (52). Silica exposure levels were very high in these cohorts. The estimated prevalence of SLE in both these studies was at least 10 times higher than the expected sex-specific prevalence in the general population. Estimates in both of these studies require several assumptions. For instance, the prevalence estimate in the scoring powder factory is calculated based on the number of workers (300) rather than the number in the volunteer sample, assuming that there are no cases among the nonparticipants. In the uranium miner cohort, disease prevalence is based on rough estimates of the numbers of employees and work histories because of high turnover rates of employees and limited access to records in a Soviet-German company during the cold war. However, this was a time with high production rates and extremely high exposures (estimated at 200–1000 times the current OSHA PEL (39).

One registry-linkage study in Sweden and Denmark also reported a strong association between silica dust exposure and SLE (33) (Table 3). SLE was more frequently diagnosed in patients with silicosis compared to all other hospitalized patients (RR = 23.8; 95% CI, 10.3–47.0). To date, no case-control studies have examined the role of silica dust exposure in the development of SLE.

Diseases with Renal Involvement

Some of the SLEV are associated with the production of antineutrophil cytoplasmic
### Table 4. Case-series studies describing silica dust exposure in autoimmune disease patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (ref)</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Major findings&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rodman et al., 1997 (30)</td>
<td>Exposure prevalence (1955–1985) 60 male cases Exposure: prolonged heavy silica exposure Exposure prevalence (1955–1985) 415 hospitalized male cases 96 age-, sex-, and race-matched hospital controls Exposure: prolonged heavy silica exposure Hospital discharge diagnosis (1955–1982) 10 hospitals (Appalachian region) Exposure: coal mining</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>26 exposed cases (43%) 20 exposed cases (47%) 16 exposed controls (19%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; 11 male cases, 9 female cases Miners (male): 17 cases per 100,000 Non-miners (male): 6 cases per 100,000 Non-miners (female): 9 cases per 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosgar et al., 1995 (37)</td>
<td>Exposure prevalence (1973–1988) 784 hospitalized cases, including 118 SSc, 35 RA, and 87 SLE Exposure: assessment of jobs held ≥2 years</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>SSc: 8 exposed cases (6.7%) RA: 5 exposed cases (1%) SLE: 4 exposed cases (4.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegler et al., 1997 (38)</td>
<td>Exposure prevalence (1971–1991) 54 male SSc cases (not uranium miners) Comparison: population estimates of exposure prevalence (&lt;1%), and sicca &lt;1% Exposure: assessment of job history</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>24 (44%) exposed to dust ≥20% quartz 5 (9%) exposed to dust ≥5% quartz Overall OR: 10.4 (4.7–22.8) With sicca: OR = 25.3 (13.0–49.1) Without sicca: OR = 6.2 (3.4–11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houstoun and Anderegg, 1998 (39)</td>
<td>Exposure prevalence (1980–1997) 1937 male and 454 female cases Exposure: self-reported history of silica-related jobs held ≥6 months</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>Male cases: 111 (81%) exposed Female cases: 7 (1.5%) exposed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc, scleroderma. Includes description of study design, study sample (origin and number of cases), comparison group (if any), and exposure classification method. In this context, assessment refers to an expert review of job histories for potential silica exposure. Self-reported exposures are those responses to specific questions on silica-related jobs, often not confirmed by expert review. Includes percent exposed, and OR or risk ratios with 95% confidence interval in parentheses when available. *p < 0.01.

### Table 5. Case-control studies of silica dust exposure, scleroderma, and autoimmune diseases with renal involvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (ref)</th>
<th>Methods&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Major findings&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silman and Jones, 1992 (40)</td>
<td>Clinic-based (dates not specified) 56 male cases 56 age- and sex-matched clinic-controls 41 age- and sex-matched friend controls Exposure: assessment of jobs (length not specified) and self-reported exposures</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>No cases with probable silica exposure 2 cases with possible silica exposure Clinic controls: OR = 1.0 (0.1–7.2) Friend controls: OR = 1.4 (0.1–16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregorini et al., 1993 (54)</td>
<td>Clinic-based (1987–1992) 15 male cases 32 age- and sex-matched hospital controls (other nephritis patients) Exposure: assessment of jobs held ≥6 months</td>
<td>RPGN; ANCA+</td>
<td>OR = 14 (1.7–113.8)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovenzi et al., 1995 (47)</td>
<td>Clinic-based (1976–1991) 21 cases (5 men, 16 women) 42 age- and sex-matched hospital controls Exposure: assessment of all jobs held ≥6 months</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>Men: OR = 5.2 (0.49–74.1) Women: no exposed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns et al., 1996 (42)</td>
<td>Population-based (1985–1991) 274 female cases 1,184 age-, sex-, race-, and region-matched controls Exposure: assessment of specific silica-related jobs held ≥3 months / history of jobs held ≥5 years</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>Working with/grown silica: OR = 1.7 (0.7–3.9) Grinding, pottery, dental lab: OR = 1.2 (0.8–1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacey et al., 1997 (40)</td>
<td>Population-based (1985–1992) 196 female cases 1,043 age-, sex-, race-, and region-matched controls Exposure: assessment of jobs held ≥3 months</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>Sculpting/pottery: OR = 2.1 (1.1–4.1) Sandblasting/grinding: OR = 1.3 (0.4–3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satterly et al., 1998 (50)</td>
<td>Clinic-based 41 cases (ANCA+ 19 SCE) 50 age-, sex-, and race-matched hospital controls (other nephritis cases) Exposure: self-reported history of specific silica-exposed jobs held ≥1 year</td>
<td>Nephritis: ANCA+ nephritis</td>
<td>OR = 12.0 (p = 0.0355) Lupus nephritis: OR = 0.5 (p = 0.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: ANCA, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies; OR, odds ratio; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RPGN, rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc, scleroderma. WG, Wegener granulomatosis. <sup>b</sup>Includes description of study design, study sample (origin and number of cases and controls), and exposure classification method. In this context, assessment refers to an expert review of job histories for potential silica exposure. Self-reported exposures are those responses to specific questions on silica-related jobs, often not confirmed by expert review. <sup>b</sup>Include OR and 95% confidence interval in parentheses when available. *p < 0.01; **p < 0.001.
autoantibodies (ANCA) (e.g., WG and microscopic polyangiitis) and can involve both systemic effects and organ-specific pathology, including the kidney. Evidence suggesting an association between silica exposure and ANCA-associated SVV has been recently reviewed by Gargioli et al. (53). Three case-control studies show strong and statistically significant associations between silica exposure and ANCA-associated SVV with renal involvement (54–56) (Table 5). Silica exposure may also be linked with ANCA reactivity in uranium miners (68). Nearly 10% of 243 miners with proteinuria had elevated ANCA levels, compared to 5% of 1,527 miners without proteinuria. Antibodies against myeloperoxidase (MPO-ANCA) or proteinase 3 (PR3-ANCA) were detected in 3.3% of the group with proteinuria compared to 0.07% of those without proteinuria. In another study, MPO-ANCA were detected in 27% of silica-exposed individuals with and without autoimmune disease (69). The association between ANCA reactivity and silica exposure, as well as its relation to the development and pathology of autoimmune diseases, will require further elucidation.

An association between silica exposure and nephritis has been suggested by registry linkage and occupational cohort studies (70–72), including one that describes dose-response association of silica with end-stage nonspecific renal disease in gold miners (72). None of these studies specifically focused on autoimmune diseases. There are case reports of lupus nephritis in some patients with silicosis and cases of renal failure in silica-exposed patients who experienced clinical manifestations of connective tissue disease (73,74). However, one clinic-based case-control study found no association between silica exposure and lupus nephritis (56). Other silica-exposed cases of renal disease show negative or nonspecific immunologic findings (74). In sum, the causes of renal disease related to silica exposure appear to be diverse, and the proportion that may be associated with autoimmune diseases is unknown.

### Immune Abnormalities

Silicosis and mineral dust pneumoconiosis have been linked to increased levels of autoantibodies, immune complexes, and excess production of immunoglobulins (primarily IgG) (65,73–79), even in the absence of clinical features of specific autoimmune diseases. In a study of 39 sandblasters with silicosis, 44% were positive for antinuclear antibodies (ANA) compared to 2–3% of a random sample of healthy controls (75). Similarly, 34% of 156 coal miners with pneumoconiosis from various regions of the north-central United States were ANA positive (76), and the proportion of ANA positivity was related to the silica content of the dust produced by different mines. Because these studies did not examine the relation between time of exposure and autoantibody levels, it is unclear whether autoantibody production in silica-exposed workers is predictive of the development of an autoimmune disease and if so, what threshold might exist between this process and disease onset.

### Serology of the urinary mineral cohort previously described (79) indicate that miners without autoimmune disease had a significantly higher frequency of autoantibodies specific to connective tissue disease (e.g., antinuclear antibodies, anti-topoisomerase, anti-double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), anti-Ro(SSA), anti-La(SSB)) compared to gender and age-matched controls from the general population. There was an association between the intensity of silica exposure and the expression of autoantibodies, but there was no apparent relation between autoantibody expression and silicosis. After 2–11 years of follow-up, miners exposing scleroderma-specific autoantibodies were more likely to develop scleroderma than autoantibody-negative miners (80). Disease progression was also observed in two miners with SLE-specific autoantibodies (both miners had dsDNA autoantibodies carrying the 16/6 idiotype) (81). Evaluating the predictive value of disease-specific autoantibodies is limited by the long latency period observed between silica exposure and the manifestation of autoimmune diseases and by loss to follow-up of workers who die of other age-related diseases.

### Biologic Mechanism of Silica in Autoimmune Disease

Many cases of autoimmune disease in silica-exposed individuals have been identified during screening or treatment for silicosis. It is unclear whether silicosis is simply a marker for high-level silica dust exposure in these cases or whether it represents a pathologic process that may predispose some individuals to the development of autoimmune disease. Conversely, it is possible that autoimmune disease may predispose some individuals to develop silicosis. Because of the chronic nature of both conditions, it is difficult to establish their temporal relationship.

The development of silicosis is thought to involve the ingestion of silica particles by alveolar macrophages that become stimulated, resulting in inflammation and the activation of fibroblasts (7). Crystalline silica is extremely toxic to cells, and silica particles cannot be broken down by lysosomal enzymes. Thus, macrophages can be destroyed by internalized silica, and the process may repeat itself, resulting in a focal point of immune activity and fibrosis. In this way, silicosis can be thought of as a chronic process of immune stimulation.

### Adjuvant Effect

Silica has long been known to have an adjuvant effect on antibody production (84). An adjuvant is a substance that nonspecifically enhances or potentiates an immune response to an antigen. Although the mechanism by which silica acts as an adjuvant is not fully understood, it appears to be related to the inflammatory response (85). One theory is that the activation of macrophages at the site of silica deposition may lead to increased antigen processing and accelerated antibody production. Macrophages respond to internalized silica by up-regulating cytokine production (including interleukin (IL)-1 and tumor necrosis factor [TNF]) (86,87), which stimulates other cells and enhances the inflammatory response. IL-1 can activate the T-helper cells that facilitate B-cell production of antibodies. In one study, silica was reported to stimulate the polyclonal (nonspecific) activation of human T cells in vitro (88), suggesting the potential of silica to act as a superantigen in vivo. Silica may also activate immune processes through the release of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (90).

An adjuvant effect is consistent with the hypothesis that silica may act to promote the development of autoimmune diseases, requiring some other event or process to break tolerance and initiate autoimmunity. In experimental models, an immunologic adjuvant is often mixed with antigen to produce autoimmune disease. One study reported that silica enhanced the effects of neural antigen in an animal model of multiple sclerosis (allergic encephalomyelitis); silica increased the incidence and severity of disease and advanced the onset of disease when administered both concurrent and 1 month prior to injection of the antigen (91). In this study, silica had both immediate and latent effects, suggesting that future studies of silica and autoimmune diseases may need to consider a wide range of opportunities for exposure.

We know of no published studies that describe a dose-response or threshold effect for silica as an adjuvant. However, the inflammatory response to silica in models of silicosis is clearly dose dependent, as observed in a.
recently developed murine model of silicosis (92). In this study, the characteristics of the immune response were strain dependent. Of the four strains chosen for experimentation, two (NZB and MRL/MpJ) have a propensity to develop autoimmune pathology resembling SLE. Both of these strains showed strong inflammatory responses to silica exposure, especially the NZB strain, but response characteristics varied between the strains. These results suggest that genetic differences and susceptibility to autoimmune disease can modify the features and extent of inflammatory pathology due to silica dust exposure.

Other Effects
Silica can cause cell death by apoptosis as well as necrosis (93). Apoptosis is an active process involving genetic regulation. Three experimental studies provide evidence that apoptosis may be enhanced by silica (94-96), possibly at levels where no acute toxicity may be detected (96). However, one human study found that soluble Fas mRNA was dominantly expressed by peripheral blood mononuclear cells compared to membranous Fas in silicosis patients who were free of the clinical symptoms of autoimmune disease (97). Although membranous Fas is required for a Fas-Fas ligand interaction to induce apoptosis, soluble Fas can block apoptosis. Understanding the effects of silica on the complex processes regulating apoptosis will require further research.

TNF-α is one possible mediator of long-lasting immune response modifications through its activity as a cytokine with a broad range of proinflammatory, catabolic, and immunosuppressive activities. Microsatellite polymorphisms of TNF-α have been reported that correspond to variation in secretion patterns (98); the TNFα2 allele increased secretion of TNF-α by monocytes stimulated with lipopolysaccharide. In uranium miners with SSc, the TNFα2 allele was significantly more prevalent compared to controls and to idiopathic SSC cases (99). This suggests a hypothesis that differential regulation of TNF-α production by macrophages ingesting silica could be involved in the relation between silica exposure and SSc.

Renal and Vascular Effects
The mechanisms of immune-mediated kidney diseases are diverse (100), and their relation to the other features of autoimmune diseases is not fully understood. Silica particles can accumulate in the kidney and lead to immune stimulation and fibrotic processes similar to those seen in pulmonary silicosis (82). Silica also has direct toxic effects on the kidneys in both animals and humans (101). Thus, the inflammatory and toxic effects of silica may both be involved in the development of renal pathology in autoimmune diseases.

The mechanisms of renal pathology appear to differ among the various autoimmune diseases that may be associated with silica exposure. In WG, inflammation often starts in the upper and lower airways but in most instances leads to the development of glomerulonephritis (70-80% of cases experience kidney involvement) (102). Excessive exposure to silica dust may play a role in this process through mechanisms described previously (74). Although autoantibodies can be detected in the serum, antibody deposition in the kidney does not appear to be involved in the pathology of WG (102). Conversely, the circulation of immune complexes is thought to be responsible for the development of renal disease in lupus patients. These underlying differences in pathology may explain the lack of association between silica dust and lupus nephritis described in one study (66) compared to the strong associations with nephritis in ANCA-associated SVN. Renal disease also occurs in about 5-15% of SSc and RA (103), but no studies have systematically examined the association of silica exposure and renal involvement in these diseases.

Vasculitis is also a manifestation of many of the autoimmune diseases that may be associated with silica exposure, including ANCA-associated SVN, SLE, RA, and sometimes SSc (103). Vascular pathology in these diseases appears to involve the interaction of white blood cells (including macrophages) with the vascular endothelium (104), but it is not known whether silica plays a direct role in this process.

Endothelial leukocyte adhesion molecule-1 (ELAM-1) and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) may be biomarkers of vascular injury in silica-exposed uranium miners with SSc. ELAM-1 levels were elevated in silica-exposed uranium miners compared to those in age- and gender-matched population controls, and the highest levels occurred in miners with SSc. Up to 25% of miners with SSc showed strong elevations of this molecule in the serum compared to 11% of miners without symptoms (105). ELAM-1 is expressed on vascular endothelial cells in response to cytokines (IL-1, TNF-α). It can be elevated in serum when these cells are strongly activated or destroyed (106), as occurs in severe inflammatory and disease conditions (e.g., sepsis, malignancies, autoimmune diseases). ICAM-1 can be induced on dermal vascular endothelial cells by silica dust (107). There is no evidence that either ELAM-1 or ICAM-1 is responsible for the hypothesized effects of silica on vascular pathology. Future studies should investigate whether these molecules may act as disease mediators and explore their use as biomarkers to study the role of silica on vascular pathology in autoimmune diseases.

Considerations for Future Research
Common Threads among Silica-Associated Diseases
Silica dust exposure may be associated with a wide range of autoimmune diseases and immune abnormalities. Although some studies have explicitly focused on only one disease, several indicate an increased occurrence of several different diseases in the same study population (27,29,30,33,35,45,52) (Tables 2, 3). Many clinical features and some autoantibodies overlap between these diseases (108). Most share a common systemic component, as well as more specific manifestations in organs such as the skin, lung, and kidney. Vascular disease may be a common underlying pathology. Future research may benefit from studies that examine the effects of silica dust exposure across these various diseases and investigate the potential for shared mechanisms.

Comparing Silica-Exposed and Idiopathic Cases of Autoimmune Disease
Many of the studies described in this review have compared the clinical features and autoantibodies of silica-exposed cases of autoimmune disease with idiopathic cases. However, it is important to account for differences in disease characteristics that might be related to age and sex. The demographics of cases may be related to both disease characteristics and the likelihood of silica dust exposure, making it more difficult to assess whether certain features or autoantibodies are related to silica dust exposure.

One study compared the autoantibodies and clinical features of 28 silica-exposed uranium miners with SLE (all men) with 102 idiopathic SLE cases (-90% women) from the general population (52) (Table 2). All the silica-exposed cases in this study were men with late-onset disease, so the differences in autoantibodies or clinical features might be related to age or gender. Compared to idiopathic cases, the uranium miners with SLE showed similar frequencies of Ro(SSA), La(SSB), and Cardiolipin antibodies, but less frequently expressed antibodies to single- and double-stranded DNA; none expressed antibodies to SmRNP proteins (B1, D, E, F, G or U1-RNP). Miners with SLE were also significantly less likely to experience arthrosis or photosensitivity than the idiopathic cases.

Studies comparing the serological features of SLE in men with those in women suggest few significant differences (109-112). Some studies have found a lower rate of dsDNA and Sm antibodies in older-onset SLE (113-115); other studies have not, possibly because of ethnic or methodological variation (116-118). Future comparisons of silica-exposed and
idiopathic autoimmune diseases should choose an appropriate comparison group and carefully ascertain the differences in disease characteristics that may be due to age or sex.

**Exposure Assessment**

Obtaining exposure history by personal interview or industry records provides only a proxy for silica dust exposure, but steps can be taken to reduce exposure misclassification. Assignment to exposure groups based on standardized industrial or occupational coding is most prone to errors (119). A more refined strategy is to use an expert assessment conducted by an industrial hygienist to confirm the potential for exposure (120). This procedure was used in two large population-based case-control studies of SSC in women (42,43) (Table 5). However, expert assessments were limited to jobs initially classified into standardized exposure groups and thus may have missed sources of silica exposure that are more common in women. Self-reported exposures are more likely to be influenced by differential recall in case-control studies but may sometimes provide a more accurate measure of exposures not recognized by an expert assessment (e.g., silica exposure outside the dusty trades industries).

Although there are considerable data on high-level occupational exposure to silica dust, it can be difficult to assess moderate- or lower-level exposures. Potential for high-level exposure is more easily recognized in jobs and industries required to meet regulatory standards for the prevention of silicosis. Moderate- or lower-level exposures may occur in those industries or occupations not routinely monitored for silica or in those where high rates of silicosis have not been identified. Exposure levels in these jobs may typically fall below the regulatory limits (0.10-0.05 mg/m³), but there may be instances where higher level exposures occur. Exposure levels depend on the frequency and duration of exposure-related activities and on the concentration of silica in workplace dust. Unfortunately, there is little measurement data for many jobs and industries with potential for moderate- or lower level silica exposure.

Some common occupational sources of silica exposure are poorly characterized and will require additional data to determine the actual exposure levels. Women make up a high proportion of workers in many of these jobs. If silica exposure in these occupations is not considered, an association with autoimmune disease in female workers might be missed. These occupations include farming (silica may comprise as much as 40-50% of the respirable fraction of some types of soil), the use of scouring powder (in some cases, almost entirely silica), and other exposures to dust and dirt (e.g., textile, janitorial, laundry, refuse handling). Textile workers may be exposed to silica as a component of cotton dust (121,122), but textile work is not typically considered in assessments of silica exposure. We identified no studies measuring silica exposure in textile workers, with the exception of one study in a Chinese cotton mill reporting that ambient levels of silica dust exceeded the OSHA PEL during an early processing stage (123). In 1987, an increased rate of RA was observed in a prospective study of women in Finland who were exposed to raw cotton mill dust (124), but no subsequent studies of silica and autoimmune diseases have mentioned textile work as a source of silica exposure.

**Exposure Characteristics and Confounding**

Most of the published studies on silica-exposed autoimmune disease consistently describe very high levels of silica exposure. However, exposure duration among cases is more variable. One study suggests that exposure intensity may be more important than cumulative dose in the development of autoimmune disease (45) (Table 2). Several occupational cohort and registry-linkage studies used silicosis or risk of silicosis to identify exposed workers (31,33,35,52) (Tables 2,3) and thus may be limited to only the most highly exposed cases. Future research in occupational cohorts should explore the characteristics of exposure, such as cumulative dose, intensity, and timing as they relate to disease onset. It is very difficult to measure the exposure levels retrospectively, even using workplace monitoring data and employee records. At this time, the only biomarkers of silica exposure are an X-ray diagnosis of silicosis or invasive assays that measure silica in the lung or other organs.

Studying autoimmune diseases in occupational cohorts with silica exposure is limited by the rare occurrence of these diseases, especially in men. Population-based studies may be better able to reflect the effect of silica exposure in people at risk of developing autoimmune diseases, especially if they consider the occurrence of moderate- and low-level exposures. It will remain difficult to retrospectively measure exposure in these studies for the reasons described above.

Multiple concurrent exposures are a particular problem in studying silica dust exposure and autoimmune disease. Silica rarely occurs in pure form in the environment and may be mixed with a wide range of other minerals. It may be difficult to isolate the effects of silica. Other particulates with adjuvant activity include talc, tin, and iron ore dust with relatively low silica content (45,125). Authors of a recent case-series study suggested renaming silica-dust lupus as mineral-dust lupus (50).

Variations in forms and mixtures with other minerals may impact the toxic or inflammatory effects of free silica, and quartz can contain trace impurities that affect its biologic activity (8). For instance, iron ore in quartz dust can increase the production of reactive oxygen species and several measures of immune stimulation (126). Other factors may impact the effect of silica; freshly fractured silica appears to be more reactive than aged dust (127). Surface area and ability to bind and present antigen may be related to the magnitude of adjuvancy for metal oxides, including silica (85).

Mixed exposures to silica and other chemicals can occur in industries where silica may be the only component of the manufacturing process. If these other chemicals are independently associated with autoimmune diseases, they may confound analyses of the effects of silica. For instance, rubber manufacturing has the potential for both silica and solvent exposure, and some studies suggest that solvents may be associated with SSCs (40,41). In addition to silica, uranium miners are also exposed to radon, radon decay products, and heavy metals. The relation between these exposures and autoimmune diseases has not been established, so it is unclear whether they could confound estimated associations between silica and autoimmune diseases. Future studies should consider potential confounding and interaction of silica's effects with other exposures.

**Susceptible Subgroups**

Disease heterogeneity and differences in genetic susceptibility can make it more difficult to identify associations with environmental agents. The effect of a risk factor may be diluted if evaluated in a heterogeneous population (128). Analyses based on clinical, autoantibody, or genetic subgroups may be one way to illuminate separate causal pathways and identify specific environmental risk factors.

Significant differences in genes of the major histocompatibility complex were found between SSC patients with silica exposure compared to idiopathic cases (99), especially among patients expressing anti-topoisomerase I antibodies. These data suggest that the association of silica and autoimmune diseases may be modified by genetic factors.

Given that women have higher rates of many autoimmune diseases compared to men, it is important to learn if they are more or less vulnerable to environmental exposures. Most documented cases of silica-related autoimmune disease have been male, and some studies have reported lower estimates of the association between silica dust and autoimmune disease in women compared to men (41-43). The discrepancy between the apparent effects of silica in men and women
may be explained by two factors: a) Men may be more likely than women to be exposed to high levels of silica dust because they comprise the majority of workers in the dusty trades industries. b) Women experience a higher underlying rate of many autoimmune diseases, so the relative impact of silica dust exposure may be diluted by cases that occur through other causal pathways. An exposure that increases the rate of disease will have a larger impact when measured as a ratio of association (RR or OR) in a group with a low underlying rate compared with a group with a higher underlying rate. For example, suppose that the incidence of a given disease is 1 case per 1,000 men and 10 cases per 1,000 women. If, for a given level of silica dust exposure, 1 additional case appears in 1,000 people, regardless of sex, the relative risk would be 2.0 for men but only 1.1 for women. Thus, exposure would appear to be related to disease in men but not in women.

**Limitations and Strengths**

Despite the large excess of autoimmune diseases observed in several occupational cohorts, the effects of silica dust exposure are much more difficult to study in the general population where high-level exposures are rare, and moderate- and lower-level exposures are difficult to assess and probably widespread. Rare diseases and rare exposures may result in the lack of power to detect moderate associations or differences among subgroups. The misclassification of silica dust exposure and confounding by other factors may bias the estimated association of silica and autoimmune disease.

Nonetheless, studies with different designs in a variety of exposed occupational groups suggest an association of silica with systemic autoimmune diseases. The advantageous effect of silica is one mechanism by which silica could be involved in autoimmune disease. These data suggest that silica may act to promote the development of autoimmune diseases, requiring some other factor to break immune tolerance or initiate disease. Unlike known, nonmodifiable risk factors for autoimmune diseases (e.g., age, sex, genetics), silica dust exposure can be reduced through indoor hygiene and engineering measures. For this reason, the association between silica and autoimmune diseases merits further study.

Future research on the association between silica and autoimmune diseases should explore the common threads between the diseases that may be associated with silica exposure. Because autoimmune diseases and high-level silica exposures are rare, both occupational and population-based studies have their limitations. Studies of exposure parameters would be most easily conducted in occupational cohorts with extensive exposure history and monitoring data. Longitudinal research in these cohorts may also be used to examine the predictive value of autoantibodies. Improved exposure assessment in population-based studies will require recognition of the potential for silica exposure in women, including moderate- and lower-level silica exposure. Researchers should also attempt to assess the potential for mixed exposures or confounding by other factors. Finally, experimental models could be used to investigate the mechanisms by which silica may operate in autoimmune diseases and to suggest preventive or treatment strategies.
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